PATENT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Deposited with the United States Postal Service as First class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

June 15, 2006

T. Borrowman, Reg. No. 42,348

JUN 1 9 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re Application of

Group Art Unit: 3622

Barry Dworkin

Examiner: Donald Champagne

Serial No.: 09/589,266

Docket No.: DWORK-44428

Filed: June 7, 2006

BUSINESS CARD ADVERTISING For:

METHOD

STATUS LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please advise us of the status of the above-identified patent application. The last paper in our file is a Decision on Appeal, which was mailed February 28, 2006 from your office and received in this office on March 2, 2006 (copy of front page attached).

Respectfully submitted

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

Aaron T. Borrowman Reg. No. 42,348 Attorney for Applicant

ATB:nn Attachment 6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1650 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 (818) 347-7900



The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was $\underline{\text{not}}$ written for publication and is $\underline{\text{not}}$ binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

MAR 0 2 2006

MAILED

FEB 2 8 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES Ex parte BARRY DWORKIN

KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

Appeal No. 2005-1499 Application No. 09/589,266

ON BRIEF

Before FRANKFORT, McQUADE, and NAPPI, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.
McQUADE, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

DECISION ON APPEAL

Barry Dworkin appeals from the final rejection (mailed November 26, 2003) of claims 4-13, all of the claims pending in the application.

THE INVENTION

The invention relates to business and greeting cards bearing web-site promotional indicia, and to a method of using such cards to receive on-line vendor discounts. Representative claims 4, 7 and 9 read as follows:

¹ The preamble of claim 11, "[t]he multi-purpose card of claim 10," lacks a proper antecedent basis as claim 10 is drawn (continued...)